



## Reconciliation Corridor Initiative

### Request For Proposals – Technical Feasibility Study

Email: [annarussell@islandrail.ca](mailto:annarussell@islandrail.ca)

#### Addendum #1

---

Opportunity ID: ICF-RCI-001

Addendum Issue Date: June 25, 2026

#### Information For Proponents

This Addendum is issued to provide additional information to the RFP for the above-named project, to the extent referenced and shall become a part thereof. All other requirements listed within the RFP that are not changed by the following Addendum shall remain the same. This Addendum contains 2 pages in total.

#### Questions and Answers:

**Q1:** We respectfully request a two-week extension to the submission deadline.

**A1:** A one-week extension will be provided for proponent submissions. The new RFP Closing Date and Time will be July 14th at 5:00pm Pacific Time.

**Q2:** Will you consider a one or two weeks of extension of the proposal submission deadline.

**A2:** Refer to A1.

**Q3:** We ask for an extension of one or two weeks. This will allow us time to give this opportunity our due attention.

**A3:** Refer to A1.

**Q4:** We respectfully request consideration of a two-week extension to the proposal submission deadline to allow sufficient time to assemble a comprehensive and high-quality response.

**A4:** Refer to A1.

**Q5:** We kindly request a corresponding extension to the Enquiries Deadline.

**A5:** A one-week extension will be provided for the Enquiries Deadline. The new Enquiries Deadline date and time will be June 30th at 5:00pm Pacific Time.

**Q6:** The RFP advises that addenda to the RFP will be posted to the ICF's website. As the website hyperlinks in the RFP are not functional, could the ICF please confirm that proponents should monitor <https://www.islandrail.ca/news/> for addenda?

A6: Proponents should monitor The Island Rail "News" URL for updates, enquiries, and new information – including addenda - regarding the RFP process. These can be found at: <https://www.islandrail.ca/news/>

**Q7:** Will words within graphics or figures be counted toward the maximum word limits for sections.

A7: Words within graphics/figures will not count towards the maximum word limit.

**Q8:** Could the ICF please confirm your preference for the pricing submission.

A8: Appendix D "Pricing Form" shall be submitted as a stand-alone document in PDF format.

**Q9:** Can ICF clarify in more detail what "Determine maintenance requirements" (RFP Appendix B, 1.a.ii) means in the context of this study?

A9: RFP Appendix B "Scope of Work" 1.a.ii is deleted in its entirety and replaced with: "Determine infrastructure upgrades required for the recommended rail technology and preliminary service plan."

**Q10:** Can ICF further clarify what is meant by "Review crossing options and propose an appropriate approach to meet signalling requirements associated with the two service scenarios" (RFP Appendix B, 1.a.iv)?

A10: Appendix B "Scope of Work" 1.a outlines that two service scenarios currently exist:

- (A) Base Service Scenario, which assumes the most conservative scenario to re-introduce passenger rail (minor improvements including passing track, at-grade crossings); and
- (B) Ultimate Service Scenario, which assumes a more frequent service (moderate improvements including double track for the majority of the corridor).

For this engagement, the Contractor is asked to:

1. Review the crossing inventory in the study area and identify the crossing protection measures required for compliance with applicable federal grade crossing requirements under both service scenarios.
2. Propose an appropriate approach to meet signalling requirements under both service scenarios, identifying where the two scenarios diverge in signalling requirements.
3. Present findings at a feasibility level of detail. For avoidance of doubt, signalling design and crossing design are not required.

**Q11:** For the purposes of the Environmental and Regulatory Feasibility scope, can the ICF confirm whether archaeological considerations should be included at a feasibility level, or if archaeological scope will be addressed separately or in later project phases?

A11: Archaeological considerations will be addressed in a separate engagement and are not in the Scope of Work for the above-mentioned RFP.

**Q12:** Is there any flexibility or is there a concern around the ultimate project deadline timing.

A12: ICF does not intend to alter the overall project schedule. Proponents may identify any concerns regarding the project deadline in Appendix A "Proposal Response Form" Section 6.2.

**End of Addendum #1**